AO 120 (Rev. 08/10)

TO:

Mail Stop 8 Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450

REPORT ON THE FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450		TRADEMARK
filed in the U.S. Distri	ict Court Easter	S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been rn District of Washington on the following avolves 35 U.S.C. § 292.):
DOCKET NO. CV-11-180-JLQ	DATE FILED U 05/06/11	.s. DISTRICT COURT Eastern District of Washington
PLAINTIFF	0070071	DEFENDANT
Invisible Fence, Inc.		Dennis Hogan, d/b/a Invincible Inc, a/k/a Invincible Inc Dog Fence of North America
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1 SEE ATTACHED	COPY OF COMPLAINT	FOR TRADEMARK INFRINGEMENT
21,765,230		
2 1,765,330 31,600,470		
41,371,021		
5		
	In the above—entitled case, the following	llowing patent(s)/ trademark(s) have been included:
DATE INCLUDED	INCLUDED BY	
DATE INCLUDED	☐ Amendr	ment 🗌 Answer 📋 Cross Bill 🔲 Other Pleading
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO.	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
Iπ the abo	ve—entitled case, the following dec	cision has been rendered or judgement issued:
DECISION/JUDGEMENT		
bu a	Hached.	
	I/DV\T	DEPUTY CLERK DATE
CLERK	L` ' a	Roneaderrank 8/9/12

MIME-Version:1.0 From:waed_cmecf@waed.uscourts.gov To:waed cmecf@waed.uscourts.gov

-- Case Participants: Roy Bradford Brittian (akreis@merchantgould.com, bbrittian@merchantgould.com, mfee@merchantgould.com), John Thomas Winemiller (jwinemiller@merchantgould.com), Regina V Culbert (kbutcher@merchantgould.com, rculbert@merchantgould.com), Duncan C Turner (courtnotices@badgleymullins.com, duncanturner@badgleymullins.com), Senior Judge Justin L. Quackenbush (jlq_chambers@waed.uscourts.gov, justin_quackenbush@waed.uscourts.gov) --Non Case Participants:

--No Notice Sent:

Message-Id:<1673144@waed.uscourts.gov>

Subject: Case 2:11-cv-00180-JLQ Invisible Fence Inc v. Hogan et al Consent Decree

Content-Type: text/html

This is an automatic e-mail message generated by the CM/ECF system.

Please DO NOT RESPOND to this e-mail because the mail box is unattended.

For questions, call the CM/ECF Help Desk at 509-458-3410 or (toll free) 866-236-5100

NOTE TO PUBLIC ACCESS USERS There is no charge for viewing opinions.

Eastern District of Washington

U.S. District Court

Notice of Electronic Filing

The following transaction was entered on 11/15/2011 at 2:31 PM PST and filed on 11/15/2011

Invisible Fence Inc v. Hogan et al Case Name:

2:11-cv-00180-JLQ Case Number:

Filer:

WARNING: CASE CLOSED on 11/15/2011

Document Number: 31

Docket Text:

CONSENT DECREE. Signed by Senior Judge Justin L. Quackenbush. (PL, Case Administrator)

2:11-cv-00180-JLQ Notice has been electronically mailed to:

duncan turner@badgley mullins.com, court notices@badgley mullins.comDuncan C Turner

John Thomas Winemiller jwinemiller@merchantgould.com

Regina V Culbert rculbert@merchantgould.com, kbutcher@merchantgould.com

bbrittian@merchantgould.com, akreis@merchantgould.com,Roy Bradford Brittian mfee@merchantgould.com

2:11-cv-00180-JLQ Notice has been delivered by other means to:

Dennis Hogan 4608 Williams Valley Road Clayton, WA 99110

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description: Main Document

Original filename:n/a

Electronic document Stamp:

[STAMP deecfStamp_ID=1069357611 [Date=11/15/2011] [FileNumber=1673142-0] [63023f5dd5e383f7d80e5c1b5e446c0db1794c9e7c023c96870d2124da62c06005 e61383e4b6103b6e8632df3140f8b18c36c2f0cf0190d0b4849991a6a11f76]]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

INVISIBLE FENCE, INC.,

Plaintiff,

Vs.

DENNIS HOGAN, an individual, d/b/a
INVINCIBLE INC., a/k/a INVINCIBLE
INC, DOG FENCE OF NORTH
AMERICA

Defendants.

CONSENT DECREE

I. BACKGROUND

Plaintiff, Invisible Fence, Inc., owns the trademarks "Invisible®" (U.S. Reg. No. 1,765,230), "Invisible Fence®" (U.S. Reg. No. 1,600,470), "Invisible Fencing®" (U.S. Reg. No. 1,371,021), for use on and in connection with pet containment systems and components thereof (collectively referred to herein as "the Invisible Fence Marks"). Plaintiff instituted this civil action against defendants Dennis Hogan, an individual d/b/a Invincible Inc., a/k/a Invincible Inc., Dog Fence of North America, and Invincible Inc., d/b/a Invincible Inc. Dog Fence of North America on May 6, 2011. The Complaint asserts claims of trademark infringement in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1114 and the common law, federal cybersquatting for use of the domain names "invisiblefencespokane.com" and "invisibletypefence.com," unfair competition in

CONSENT DECREE - 1

28 CONSENT DECREE - 2

violation 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a) and the common law, and trademark dilution in violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1125(c). The parties have now agreed to the settlement of this action, and have entered into a settlement agreement. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of said settlement agreement, the parties have jointly requested entry of this Consent Decree.

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS as follows:

II. JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter and the parties in this case.
 - 2. Venue in this district is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

III. TRADEMARK OWNERSHIP

3. Plaintiff is the owner of the trademarks "Invisible®" (U.S. Reg. No. 1,765,230), "Invisible Fence®" (U.S. Reg. No. 1,600,470) and "Invisible Fencing®" (U.S. Reg. No. 1,371,021), each for use on and in connection with pet containment systems and components thereof.

IV. STIPULATED DECREE

- 4. Immediately upon execution of this Consent Decree, Defendants, any and all companies and businesses owned or controlled by Defendants, individually or collectively, their successors, assigns and transferees, and their officers, directors, shareholders, owners, members, managers, employees, agents, servants, and representatives, and all persons in active concert or participation with any one or more of them, are permanently enjoined from:
 - (a) using on Defendants' website(s) the Invisible Fence Marks and any word(s), term(s), name(s) or symbol(s) which, either alone or in combination with the Invisible Fence mark(s), are likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of defendant with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of Defendant's goods, services or commercial activities by Plaintiff;

- (b) use of the Invisible Fence Marks as a domain name or part of a domain name, as a search engine keyword or adword, as metadata, in any sponsored search engine listings or other sponsored links;
- (c) using illegally in commerce any word(s), term(s), name(s), symbol(s) or device(s), or any combination thereof, or any false designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, or false or misleading representation of fact, which is likely to cause confusion, or to cause mistake, or to deceive as to the affiliation, connection, or association of Defendants with Plaintiff, or as to the origin, sponsorship, or approval of defendant's goods, services or commercial activities by Plaintiff;
- (d) using illegally in commerce any word(s), term(s), name(s), symbol(s) or device(s), or any combination thereof, or any false designation of origin, false or misleading description of fact, or false or misleading representation of fact, which, in commercial advertising or promotion, misrepresents the nature, characteristics, qualities or origin of Plaintiff's goods, services, or commercial activities;
- (e) falsely passing of Defendants' goods or services as those of Plaintiff; and/or
- (f) diluting the distinctive quality of the Invisible Fence Marks as defined by law.

V. CONTINUING JURISDICTION

5. This Court shall retain jurisdiction over the Parties hereto for purposes of: (a) the enforcement of this Consent Decree and the associated Settlement Agreement; (b) resolution of any disputes arising therefrom or associated therewith; and (c) any further litigation involving the issues raised in Plaintiff's Complaint filed herein.

VI. ATTORNEY FEES AND COSTS

6. Each Party shall bear its own attorney fees, costs, and expenses incurred in connection with, and relating to, this civil action and the resolution thereof.

VI. DISMISSAL OF ACTION

7. Except as otherwise indicated above, this case shall be dismissed with prejudice.

The Clerk of this court shall enter this Decree, enter judgment of dismissal with prejudice of the Complaint and the claims therein, and close this file.

Dated November 15, 2011.

s/ Justin L. Quackenbush JUSTIN L. QUACKENBUSH SENIOR UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

28 CONSENT DECREE - 4

2 3